

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/991,066	Applicant(s) OHZURU ET AL.
	Examiner John T. Haran	Art Unit 1733

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) John T. Haran.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Lione.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

14 and 70-98

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to change "tubular object" to - - medium conveying belt - - in claims 14 and 74-78 for clarity; to change "the film" to - - the laminated source material film - - and change "said one layer" to - - at least one layer of said laminated source material film - - in claim 80 to correct lack of antecedent basis problems; and to replace "material film or thermoplastic resin film" with - - laminated source material film - - in claims 90-92 for clarity..